

MAY 12 2009

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

DAVID FRENCH,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

ANNETTE SAHAKIAN DAVIS, an  
individual; BARBARA BRACE, an  
individual; BEACHWOOD SERVICES,  
INC., a corporation; MONTROSE  
PRODUCTIONS, INC., a corporation;  
SONY PICTURES TELEVISION INC., a  
corporation,

Defendants - Appellees.

No. 08-55077

D.C. No. CV-07-06965-JFW

MEMORANDUM<sup>\*</sup>

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Central District of California  
John F. Walter, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted May 4, 2009<sup>\*\*</sup>  
Pasadena, California

Before: NOONAN, O'SCANNLAIN and GRABER, Circuit Judges.

---

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

As the master of the complaint, French chose to sue on the basis of his alleged contract with Heschong. That another remedy may have existed in the Collective Bargaining Agreement (“CBA”) does not support complete federal preemption. *Livadas v. Bradshaw*, 512 U.S. 107 (1994). Nor does the “bare fact that a collective-bargaining agreement will be consulted” have preemptive force. *Id.* at 124.

French alleges four tort claims against Davis and Brace. Resolution of none of these claims requires application or interpretation of the CBA between French’s union and Beachwood Services. Federal jurisdiction was therefore lacking. The case must be returned to the state court where it properly began and belongs. Accordingly, the judgment of the district court is REVERSED and the case is REMANDED with direction to return it to the Los Angeles Superior Court where it began.